

EXHIBIT A

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
3 EASTERN DIVISION
4 JAMES JIRAK and ROBERT)
5 PEDERSEN,)
6 Plaintiffs,)
7 vs.) No. 07 C 3626
8 ABBOTT LABORATORIES, INC.,)
9 Defendants.)

10

11 The deposition of MICHAEL RANCOURT,
12 called for examination, taken pursuant to the
13 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United
14 States District Courts pertaining to the taking
15 of depositions, taken before Lynn A. McCauley, CSR
16 No. 84-003268, RPR, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
17 of the State of Illinois, at 77 West Wacker Drive,
18 Suite 3500, Chicago, Illinois, on August 26, 2009 at
19 9:02 a.m.

20

21

22

23

24

1 PRESENT:

2 JOSEPH & HERZFELD, LLP, by
3 MR. MICHAEL DI CHIARA
4 757 Third Avenue, 25th Floor
5 New York, New York 10017
6 212-688-5640
7 md@jhllp.com
8 Appearances on behalf of Plaintiffs;

9 JONES DAY, by
10 MS. AMANDA M. OSE
11 555 California Street, 26th Floor
12 San Francisco, California 94104
13 415-626-3939
14 aose@jonesday.com
15 Appearances on behalf of Defendant;

16 and

17 JONES DAY, by
18 MR. BRENT D. KNIGHT
19 77 West Wacker Drive, 35th Floor
20 Chicago, Illinois 60601
21 312-782-3939
22 bknights@jonesday.com
23 Appearances on behalf of Defendant.

24

1 directly on -- you know, they wouldn't -- the
2 physician would not have written it otherwise unless
3 that rep convinced them of that script, not for every
4 script, but for certainly the majority of scripts
5 it's pretty -- it's well understood in our industry
6 that the representative plays a very large part in
7 determining -- in driving those sales by the way of
8 convincing the customers to prescribe those products.

9 Q. Now, if I'm correct in primary care sales
10 you have multiple reps calling on the same
11 physicians; correct?

12 A. Yes. In most territories there are two
13 to four representatives in a sort of team selling
14 environment.

15 Q. Okay. So in those cases how do you know
16 which rep is persuading the physician to write the
17 script?

18 A. Yeah --

19 MS. OSE: Same objection.

20 THE WITNESS: Does this mean I still answer?

21 MS. OSE: (Indicating.)

22 BY THE WITNESS:

23 A. Okay. That's difficult to tell. It
24 takes then the sales manager, right, that's why we

1 have district managers is that we measure the
2 quantitative results from our sales results, from our
3 sales reports, but we also have district managers who
4 ride along with these representatives on a regular
5 basis so they can put two and two together in terms
6 of -- you know, based on the results, you know, if
7 let's say one product is being sold by two different
8 people on that team, those qualitative assessments
9 that the manager makes in those ride-alongs can
10 determine, you know, if Representative A is having a
11 more pronounced effect on the results than
12 Representative B.

13 So it is a combination. It very
14 much is a combination of the quantitative results
15 along with the qualitative assessment that a district
16 manager does in coaching their representatives in the
17 field.

18 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

19 Q. And a doctor can write a script for a
20 Abbott product if that product has a favorable
21 formulary position on a managed care plan; correct?

22 A. They can write it whether -- if it's an
23 unfavorable as well.

24 Q. Okay. But formulary position on a

1 idea of DTC is that it appeals to patients directly
2 offering a potential solution for a condition they
3 may have, and it generates a discussion with a
4 physician.

5 The physician, however, is still the
6 ultimate decision maker.

7 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

8 Q. Does Abbott keep track, if you know, of
9 prescriptions that are written but not filled?

10 MS. OSE: This is the same objection. Just
11 to clarify, it's outside the scope of the 30(b)(6).

12 Mr. Rancourt can testify on his
13 personal knowledge but not as representative of the
14 company.

15 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

16 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

17 Q. Does Abbott have a way of tracking the
18 number of prescriptions that are written but not
19 filled?

20 A. No.

21 Q. Do all pharmacies report their
22 prescribing information?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Which pharmacies don't to your knowledge?

1 be a credible source of information because
2 physicians do rely on the information we provide to
3 make those decisions.

4 And I've seen the same type of
5 verbal, you know, coaching to other representatives
6 when I've been a manager. You know, physicians
7 reminding the representative that they play an
8 important part in their decision making process.

9 Q. Does Abbott keep separate sales
10 performance reports to reflect whether a prescription
11 is written because of a rep's efforts or because of
12 direct-to-consumer advertising or because of a
13 favorable formulary position?

14 MS. OSE: Same objection.

15 BY THE WITNESS:

16 A. No, that's not possible.

17 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

18 Q. How long were you in the position of Area
19 Sales Director for the Southeast Region?

20 A. One-and-a-half years.

21 Q. And what timeframe are we talking about?

22 A. It was January 2008 to June 2009.

23 Q. And were you employed by Abbott prior to
24 June of 2008?

1 responsibilities as a Regional Manager for Primary
2 Care.

3 A. Yes.

4 Primary responsibility was to
5 increase the sales of the products that my sales team
6 covered and had responsibility for in selling to
7 their customers within that geography and those
8 customers mainly being primary care physicians.

9 Q. And how would you go about increasing
10 sales of your product?

11 A. From visiting customers on a daily -- my
12 representatives would visit customers on a daily
13 basis and discuss with them our products and ask for
14 their verbal commitment to prescribe our products.

15 Q. Now, if a physician gave a commitment
16 that they were going to prescribe an Abbott product,
17 is that a binding commitment?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Anything else that you did to try to
20 increase sales of your products when you were a
21 Primary Care Regional Manager?

22 A. That was the primary mechanism by which
23 we drove sales.

24 Secondarily, we also reviewed our

1 often travel to BI to sit in with them on meetings of
2 stategizing how to market the product, working with
3 our advertising agencies, working with in-house
4 departments to pull together our sales materials.

5 But then on the Abbott side I would
6 be responsible for connecting with our sales force
7 and educating them on the product so that they were
8 prepared to sell it in our sales force.

9 Q. Now, in a situation like you just
10 described where Abbott is co-promoting a product with
11 Boehringer Ingelheim, are there situations where
12 there are Abbott reps and Boehringer Ingelheim reps
13 in the same territory calling on the same physicians?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And in those situations can Abbott make a
16 distinction as to what scripts are written because of
17 the Abbott's rep's efforts or from the Boehringer's
18 rep's efforts?

19 MS. OSE: Same objection as before. It is
20 outside the scope of Mr. Rancourt's 30(b)(6) topics.
21 He can testify on his personal knowledge.

22 BY THE WITNESS:

23 A. So from my knowledge it's a challenge,
24 it's not a quantitatively precise mechanism, but it

1 goes back to what we talked about before around, you
2 know, this is where the qualitative aspect of the
3 district managers working with the representatives
4 directly observing what they do and those DMs
5 connecting with their DM peers from the other
6 company, having those kind of discussions, help to
7 diagnose who really are more effective than others to
8 help remedy those situations and coach the
9 representatives to be more effective.

10 So it was a combination of the
11 qualitative and quantitative to help best determine
12 the answer -- or the question that you asked.

13 Q. Would a Abbott district manager do
14 ride-alongs with a Boehringer Ingelheim rep?

15 A. Occasionally. That was up to the local
16 managers to decide.

17 Q. Okay. Before you became Product Manager,
18 what was your position with Abbott?

19 A. Prior to Product Manager?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. So prior to Product Manager, I was a
22 District Sales Manager in New Jersey.

23 Q. Which part?

24 A. I was in Union County, and I covered the

1 we could just identify it by Bates number what the
2 document is.

3 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

4 Q. Now, reps can't enter into contracts;
5 right, with doctors?

6 A. You have to clarify which type of
7 representative you're talking about.

8 Q. Primary care.

9 A. Primary care reps do not.

10 Q. What reps can enter into contracts if
11 any?

12 A. Yeah, some do. There are certain
13 specialty reps that do. Like I have not worked in
14 this sales force, but like our Lupron sales force,
15 it's a hormone. I think it's an injected product.

16 I've not personally worked with it,
17 but I know historically that that's been a product
18 that they've sold directly to physicians.

19 And then we have our managed care
20 team that enters into contracts with managed care
21 companies that involve rebates and such.

22 Q. Are reps part of the managed care team?

23 A. I'm sorry?

24 Q. Are pharmaceutical reps part of the

1 managed care team?

2 A. It's a separate sales force, managed care
3 sales force.

4 Q. So other than that one category of reps
5 that you said can enter into contracts with
6 physicians, do you know of any pharmaceutical reps
7 that can enter into contracts with physicians?

8 A. Not within Abbott's pharmaceutical
9 products division.

10 Q. Again, we're just focusing on the
11 pharmaceutical products. I just want to clarify.

12 Do the pharmaceutical reps that call
13 on physicians have the ability to negotiate prices
14 with physicians?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do they have the ability --

17 A. Not the primary care representatives.

18 Q. Are there any other -- outside of the
19 managed care sales force --

20 A. Well, again, I'm not an expert to speak
21 on behalf of the Lupron sales force, so that would
22 be -- I can't answer to that.

23 My knowledge of that sales force is
24 that it is negligible, but I -- I'm really speaking

1 that a physician would tell a representative, you
2 know, I want to write your product, but the local
3 pharmacy tells me that they're out of it, so in that
4 indirect way the representative circles back at the
5 pharmacy to make sure the pharmacy orders it because
6 they've been told the physician wants to use it.

7 So if that's an indirect way, it's
8 not a direct order taking, but there is sort of that
9 mechanism by which we do gain information from
10 customers and relay that to the pharmacy to make sure
11 that the order is placed if you will.

12 Does that make sense?

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. So it's indirect, not direct, but it's
15 related to your question, so I wanted to clarify
16 that.

17 Q. So a rep can walk into a pharmacy and
18 say, you know, a doctor's telling me you don't have
19 this product stocked. You need to order it?

20 A. Would you please order it. Request that,
21 yes.

22 Q. Okay. And who would the pharmacy order
23 the product through?

24 A. It depends on the pharmacy. You know,

1 certain chains, you know, would order through their
2 chain distributor. Independents would order through
3 their wholesaler.

4 Q. Do pharmaceutical reps get involved in,
5 you know, taking orders or orders from pharmacies?

6 A. Let me think about this.

7 No, but we have another group called
8 our national trade executives and that other separate
9 sales force does get involved with the actual
10 ordering of product to the -- through the
11 distribution channel, from Abbott to the wholesaler
12 to the distributors.

13 Q. And who negotiates the prices of Abbott
14 products with wholesalers or pharmacies?

15 MS. OSE: Objection. This is outside the
16 scope of Mr. Rancourt's 30(b) (6).

17 BY THE WITNESS:

18 A. Yeah, I haven't directly worked with
19 those groups, but it's part of managed care and trade
20 sales groups.

21 Q. Okay. Now, if a rep say leaves Abbott in
22 January, will they be paid their bonus for the -- say
23 they leave in January of 2010, will they be paid
24 their bonus for the last quarter of 2009?

1 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

2 Q. Let's talk about the job duties and
3 responsibilities for pharmaceutical reps.

4 What are they?

5 A. Well, in summary it's to visit their
6 healthcare practitioner customers and present them
7 information about our products and use convincing
8 conversational skills to ask for their commitment to
9 use our products instead of competitors.

10 Q. Anything else?

11 A. That is -- that's their mission.

12 Q. Okay. Now, is that -- those duties and
13 responsibilities that you just described, is that the
14 same for all pharmaceutical reps that you know of?

15 A. In that description that I just gave,
16 yes.

17 Q. And that would be regardless of whether a
18 rep's promoting products in New Jersey or Wisconsin?

19 A. Yes. Everybody knows this gig -- you're
20 hired to hit your numbers.

21 Q. Okay.

22 A. How you go about that within each
23 franchise there are different activities and such,
24 but that description I gave you fits across the

1 part of the folks that we interface with.

2 Q. Understood.

3 Now, are reps allowed to have any
4 contact with consumers about the Abbott products?

5 A. Not -- well, again, it depends on the
6 franchise you're talking about like -- in primary
7 care the representatives generally don't interface
8 with customers or patients I should say, the end
9 customer being the patient. HIPAA guidelines, you
10 know, privacy protection forbids us to do that.

11 There are certain levels of indirect
12 engagement with patients that take place in certain
13 specialty sales forces like say HIV or immunology
14 where representatives commonly sponsor patient
15 advocacy programs where they would bring in a
16 physician speaker and talk to the patients, and I'm
17 sure that there's chitchat that occurs sometimes
18 between patient and the rep just in the course of
19 getting refreshments at those events, so in that type
20 of context reps do sometimes interface with patients.

21 Q. Well, in the context of promoting the
22 product reps don't call?

23 A. Correct.

24 Q. Won't call on the patients; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Now, what would you say then is the rep's
3 primary duty?

4 A. I thought I mentioned before that the
5 rep's primary duty is to sell the products that they
6 carry and use convincing logic to convince the
7 physician to use more of the product, the Abbott
8 product versus a competitor.

9 Q. And the customers, as you described them,
10 that the reps call on that's given to the reps by
11 Abbott; correct?

12 A. Yeah, we help them by giving them the
13 data that we've purchased, right, of who the
14 physicians are because this isn't like a cold calling
15 business where anybody can use these products.

16 Q. Right.

17 A. You have to have a medical license, so we
18 know who these physicians are, so we do provide them
19 that list to make their job easier. It certainly
20 saves them time from having to cold call, and we're
21 able to give them data of those physicians who have a
22 higher historical prescribing history.

23 Q. Is that called a call list?

24 A. Called different things, target lists,

1 call list, eventually -- you know one term you may be
2 getting at is a call plan.

3 Q. Okay. And does Abbott require reps to
4 call on doctors with a certain frequency?

5 A. It really depends. I mean each sales
6 force operates with a call plan, so, in other words,
7 at the beginning of a selling period the home office
8 would send the representative a call list -- whatever
9 you want to call it, a target list or a call list,
10 it's a larger list -- and the representatives select
11 which physicians they will select to be on their call
12 plan which are the ones that they're committing to
13 see regularly over that selling period, and they have
14 an ability to add and drop physicians and, you know,
15 make some changes within that call list.

16 Again, the master call list,
17 whittling that down to the actual call plan, the rep
18 plays a part in determining which ones end up on the
19 final call plan.

20 Q. Okay. So the first call list that's
21 given to a rep by Abbott, does that rank physicians
22 as far as high prescribers, low prescribers?

23 A. Yeah.

24 Q. Now, does Abbott want the reps to focus

1 on the high prescribers?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. So when a rep develops their call plan
4 which is the whittling down of the call list --

5 A. Yeah.

6 Q. -- that call plan is going to have on it
7 the high prescribers; correct?

8 A. Primarily, but there are other factors
9 that go into the rep decision making process to
10 decide who gets add and who gets dropped.

11 The rep's judgment is critical in
12 this process because the home office only knows the
13 quantitative history of those physicians.

14 The representatives would know the
15 additional really critical qualitative info like who
16 is accessible, who is an up-and-coming physician,
17 right. They may have low history, but they're a
18 young physician who is part of a busy practice and
19 they're liable to be a high prescriber.

20 Those representatives have the
21 ability to use their judgement to add those
22 physicians. That's why we give them the add-drop
23 process to use their judgment to affect that final
24 call plan.

1 that call plan to help the rep make those judgment
2 calls where they're adding and dropping.

3 Q. Now, so a rep can't -- well, can a rep go
4 to the district manager after they get their initial
5 call list and on their call plan have all either low
6 or non-prescribers on it and say, here managers,
7 these are the doctors I'm going to be calling on.

8 A. That would be very rare for that to
9 happen. If a representative came to me with a list
10 of all low prescribing physicians that they've added
11 and they've dropped all these high prescribing
12 physicians, I would have a very serious discussion
13 with them about why they've done so because they're
14 calling on lower producing customers in that case.

15 So typical a call plan would be a
16 mix of some high prescribers which are pretty clear
17 as to why they would be on there, and some low
18 performers, low prescribers that would be based on
19 the rep's judgment, but it should be probably more of
20 like an 80/20, right, high to low. It shouldn't be
21 this big list of low providers. I would really
22 question a rep's judgement in that case.

23 Q. And would Abbott expect that a rep would
24 call on the high prescribers more frequently than the

1 low or non-prescribers?

2 A. Yeah, and that just makes common sense
3 that even a rep would want to call on those
4 physicians more often.

5 MS. REPORTER: Can we take a two-minute
6 break?

7 MR. DI CHIARA: Yeah, sure.

8 (WHEREUPON, a recess was
9 had.)

10 BY MR. DI CHIARA:

11 Q. Now, you mentioned that the reps can add
12 or delete physicians from the call list; is that
13 correct?

14 A. Uh-huh, yeah.

15 Q. Okay. Now, can reps delete a physician
16 from a call list for reasons other than the ones I'm
17 about to list, either the physician died, retired,
18 moved out of the territory, or is incarcerated?

19 A. Yes, they can.

20 Q. And what -- under what other
21 circumstances?

22 A. Representative's judgment which would
23 probably include access like they're inaccessible, or
24 they're on the verge of retirement. I mean there are

1 just somebody who's a low writer who the
2 representative deems is still important because of
3 their reputation, you know, in the community.

4 That covers a pretty wide spectrum.

5 I mean I'm not sure there would be any other reason
6 why a rep would ever want to add another doc.

7 Q. Sure. And is there a limit as to how
8 many doctors a rep can add?

9 A. Well, again, you acknowledged, and I
10 verified, that there usually is a parameter of, you
11 know, max adds, max drops that we set, and that add/
12 drop sort of tool that is sent to reps to manage.

13 Q. So Abbott sets the parameters under
14 which -- sets the parameters for the number of
15 doctors that a rep can add or delete; is that
16 correct?

17 A. Yeah. Keep in mind that each franchise
18 operates a different call plan process.

19 Again, some use them, a lot of them
20 use them, some don't; but every selling period the
21 business rules that we call them for the add/drop
22 process change based on what -- what's going on in
23 the marketplace.

24 Q. But, again, does Abbott still --

1 non-prescribe?

2 A. Yeah, I mean that -- I would seriously
3 call into question their judgment. I would want to
4 learn more. I would use my coaching skills to learn
5 more. Tell me more, Mike, about this call plan.
6 This is very unique to see all these low prescribers.
7 Tell me more about why you made those decisions.

8 Q. And what if the rep were to respond,
9 Well, I think they're all up-and-comers?

10 A. I've never had that experience happen,
11 and I don't think there's any legitimate case that in
12 any territory in the country that would have that
13 case where everybody would be -- should be added
14 that's not on the default list.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. It's just I've never seen that in my
17 career. Let's just put it that way.

18 Q. Okay. So if I'm a rep, and you're a
19 district manager, and I have all low or
20 non-prescribers on my cal plan, and the district
21 manager asks me, you know, Why do you have all these
22 people on it? This doesn't make sense. And I say,
23 Well, I think they're all up-and-comers. And you ask
24 for an explanation. And I say, It's just a gut

1 feeling. Can a DM say, No, you need to change your
2 call plan?

3 A. Absolutely. And they should.

4 In that case it's -- what your
5 describing sounds like poor judgment that the
6 representative is using.

7 Unless there's some absolutely out
8 of -- off the wall, one in a million territory that
9 this representative has that would call for that
10 exception.

11 Q. Okay.

12 A. What you described would be highly
13 unusual. I have to tell.

14 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that reps, that
15 their job is to sell the Abbott product; is that
16 correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. How do they do that?

19 A. By visiting physician offices daily via
20 either drop-in or appointment and getting one-on-one
21 face time with that customer to engage in a
22 discussion with them about their product use, their
23 needs, you know, for patient treatment and how our
24 product may fit those needs and ultimately asking for

1 their commitment to use our product.

2 Q. Now, we discussed this earlier, but the
3 commitment to use -- if a doctor gives a commitment
4 to use an Abbott product, it's a non-binding
5 commitment; correct?

6 A. Absolutely.

7 Q. Now, what tools do reps use to sell their
8 product?

9 A. They use sales materials, like what are
10 commonly called sales aids and reprints.

11 Sales aids are the color brochures
12 about the product, and the reprints are often, you
13 know, the studies, clinical studies.

14 Q. Now, do reps, do they develop these sales
15 aids?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Who creates the sales aids?

18 A. The marketing team, under the supervision
19 of medical, regulatory and legal.

20 Q. Can a rep mark up a sales aid?

21 A. They -- can they, yes? Should they, no.

22 Q. Okay. Let me rephrase that.

23 Why shouldn't a rep mark up a sales
24 aid?

1 A. Because the sales aid was approved
2 because it met the approval of our medical regulatory
3 department that says, yes, this fits within the label
4 of the product.

5 By allowing a physician to mark up
6 the sales aid, that -- that's really affecting the
7 integrity of the material in that sales aid, and we
8 wouldn't be able to control to what extent the rep
9 would make changes that could be innocuous or
10 actually equate material changes, so we have to have
11 a policy of not making the changes to stay within
12 label.

13 Q. Can reps make their own sales aids?

14 A. No. Well, can they, yes. Should they,
15 no. It's against policy.

16 Q. Whose policy?

17 A. It's against company policy, and frankly
18 the FDA would forbid that as well.

19 Q. Okay. Now, so if a rep creates their own
20 sales aid and they think it's the greatest sale aid
21 in the history of sales aids, they still can't use it
22 on a sales pitch?

23 A. Correct. And I run across a lot of low
24 performers that think that they know better how to

1 market a product and think they can develop a better
2 one when really my experience tells me they can't.

3 Q. Okay. Fair enough.

4 So the people who are -- you know,
5 the professionals who are responsible for developing
6 the sales aids know what they're doing and --

7 A. Absolutely.

8 Q. Okay. Now, reprints, reps can only use
9 approved reprints; correct, on sales calls?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Now, if a rep, say they're flipping
12 through the New England Journal of Medicine, and they
13 find this great study that supports the product
14 they're promoting, but it hasn't yet been approved by
15 Abbott, can they use that on the sales call?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Now, are reps allowed to -- can they
18 highlight or mark up the reprints?

19 A. They can, but they should not.

20 Q. Okay. And for company policy reasons?

21 A. For the same company policy reasons.

22 They -- if we allow that, who is to say they wouldn't
23 block out certain things that would affect the
24 integrity of that study.

1 Q. Okay. Now, are these -- well, who
2 decides which reprints reps should use?

3 A. Our internal Medical and Regulatory
4 Departments.

5 Q. Okay. Now, I've also seen some documents
6 that reps get and say -- are you familiar with
7 these -- that say, For representative use only. Not
8 for detailing?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Can a rep use those documents, show them
11 to a physician on a sales call?

12 A. Once again, can they, yes. Should they,
13 no, because of what you just described, they're
14 labeled, For representative education use only.

15 Q. So even if a rep thinks that this
16 document they received that says, For representative
17 use only, will help them, you know, increase market
18 share, they shouldn't use it because it's against
19 company policy?

20 A. Exactly. And I'm not aware of any
21 material that truly could help a rep that you
22 described. That's material that you describe in that
23 way. That if they used it that it would help them
24 more than if they just used their approved materials.

1 They shouldn't use those unapproved materials, and it
2 wouldn't help them anyway, so I don't know why they'd
3 want to.

4 Q. Okay. Because Abbott has people who
5 develop those materials?

6 A. Absolutely.

7 Q. And now those rules concerning, you know,
8 sales materials, sales aids and reprints, do those
9 apply to all types of pharmaceutical reps at Abbott?

10 A. Yes. I mean each sales force is bound to
11 operate within that sales force's approved materials.
12 Of course, those materials differ by sales force, by
13 product.

14 Q. Okay. Now, when a rep calls on a
15 physician, can they say anything to a physician about
16 the product that's not included in a sales aid, a
17 reprint or some preapproved Abbott product?

18 A. Well, yeah, they can.

19 I mean all the dynamics of
20 conversational language that go into a discussion
21 aren't necessarily -- aren't going to be in a sales
22 aid or a reprint.

23 But all the factual information
24 about a product should come from an approved piece of

1 material, right, which is the sales aid or the
2 reprint or the package insert.

3 Q. Yeah, and that's what I meant. I'm
4 talking about like when a rep is talking to a
5 physician about an Abbott product and describing the
6 attributes of the product, they can -- they're
7 limited to what -- as to what they can say based on
8 what's in the product insert or a preapproved Abbott
9 document; is that correct?

10 A. Yes, they're bound to reflect the
11 attributes of the product that are printed in the
12 package insert or our selling materials.

13 Q. Now, obviously if a doctor wants to talk
14 about the Chicago Bears when a rep goes in, it makes
15 sense for the rep to talk about the Chicago Bears and
16 then try to segue into talking about the product?

17 A. I hope that they would.

18 Q. But, again, in a lot of instances when we
19 were talking about the conversational aspect of the
20 interaction between the rep and the physician, a lot
21 of that is based on what the physician wants to talk
22 about?

23 A. In many cases, yeah. I mean ideally the
24 representative is hopefully setting a call objective

1 materials to all the reps -- well, I guess it's all
2 relative, but I'm sure it costs a significant amount
3 of money or -- let me withdraw the question because
4 I -- for a pharmaceutical company I have no idea what
5 a significant amount of money would be.

6 But in those sales -- in those
7 training materials that's provided to reps where they
8 provide probing questions, they're put there for a
9 reason in the hopes that a rep will use them;
10 correct?

11 A. Absolutely. To help train the
12 representative on what a best practice might look
13 like, right, from what other successful people have
14 done and how they have sold the product in the past,
15 we certainly want to create material, you know,
16 written material at times that helps demonstrate to
17 those representatives what good looks like.

18 Q. And reps are evaluated on their -- at
19 least in part on their ability to ask probing
20 questions to the physicians; correct?

21 A. Oh, jeez absolutely, yeah.

22 Q. Okay. Now, occasionally a doctor will
23 have a question or an objection; correct?

24 A. Absolutely.

1 Q. Okay. And does Abbott provide reps with
2 appropriate responses to certain types of objections?

3 A. We often do to make sure that -- you
4 know, to give an example of how to stay within the
5 label, right, so there are often common objections
6 that we expect, either at the launch of a product or
7 after the launch of a product, and we'll often tee
8 those up in the form of a document to demonstrate to
9 representatives again how to effectively use
10 convincing sales language to answer that and
11 hopefully address the physician's concerns, but most
12 importantly to make sure that that is -- they are not
13 speaking out of turn or making something up.

14 Q. So Abbott basically provides the reps
15 with responses to particular types of objections?

16 A. To some objections, yeah.

17 Certainly not -- we certainly can't
18 anticipate everything that comes up, so the ones that
19 we think are going to be the most common we certainly
20 try to educate the representative on what to expect
21 and, you know, offer them suggestions on how they can
22 address that.

23 Q. Okay. And reps are also evaluated on
24 their ability to handle objections; correct?

1 time. Well, maybe OOS did better than that other
2 product. Maybe that's what they're referring to
3 here.

4 You know, what I mean? So the
5 formulary change was sort of neutral because it
6 affected our product equally to the way it affected
7 the competitor, but yet our -- I'm reading into
8 this -- but our product actually did well after that
9 change.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. But there's other information that I
12 don't know that happens all the time. That doesn't
13 necessarily mean that the formulary decision itself
14 was the driving factor in the sales increasing.

15 Q. Okay. Now, in your experience in reading
16 these evaluations over the course of your years, it
17 seems that the district manager wrote in that it was
18 put back on formulary.

19 A. I tell you why they put that in there.

20 Q. Okay.

21 A. Because it's important -- when a product
22 does go on formulary, it's like now it's like
23 sellable. Now it's like a product that customers can
24 write, so you have to make sure that your

1 representatives are actively engaging in the right
2 activity, calling on the right people, to make sure
3 that because the customers can now write the product,
4 that they actually do.

5 So the DM in this case is
6 effectively recognizing that this was a selling
7 opportunity that emerged during the year, right, and
8 the representative did what they could to drive that
9 chair as a result of that market occurrence.

10 Q. And the opportunity emerged because it
11 was put back on formulary?

12 A. At least the opportunity for physician's
13 to write the product seems to be the case.

14 But to what extent they could write
15 that product and what their other choices were and
16 how those changes may have simultaneously also
17 occurred at that time, I don't know that from reading
18 this.

19 Q. But you would agree that OOS being placed
20 back on formulary did create a selling opportunity?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Okay.

23 A. Yep. That without representative action
24 would be a latent influence.

1 A. Vaguely remember that. It's been a
2 little while since Omnicef has been around.

3 Q. Sure.

4 Are reps also evaluated on their
5 ability to deliver those types of messages to
6 physicians?

7 A. Sure, yeah.

8 There's been market research tested
9 with other physicians that has effective messages.

10 Q. Now, going back to the exhibit that's in
11 front of you which I believe is Exhibit 2.

12 If you turn to Page 5 of the
13 document, and you look at the Competencies -- you can
14 take a minute just to look through these.

15 Are these the competencies that reps
16 have been evaluated on since 2005 to the present?
17 And you can look. I know there's a lot there.

18 MR. KNIGHT: Are you asking across all
19 franchises?

20 MR. DI CHIARA: Yeah, I guess.

21 BY THE WITNESS:

22 A. Well, then the answer is no because in
23 each franchise there are different -- there are
24 different performance evaluations.

1 Q. Can you teach having a friendly
2 personality?

3 A. No. That would be a talent.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. But the art of like how to initiate
6 conversations with like a nurse, with a receptionist,
7 with a physician and how to do that differently to
8 build a total office relationship, that's a skill
9 that could be taught.

10 So if that makes sense, there are
11 certain elements of this that are talents, but
12 certain elements that are definitely teachable
13 skills.

14 Q. And Abbott gives the reps examples of
15 approaches that they can use with nurses and office
16 managers and doctors in training; correct?

17 A. Certainly.

18 Yeah, because a lot of the folks we
19 train, they haven't ever done this before, so getting
20 a background on these customers -- these
21 professionals they're going to interface with, we
22 definitely give them some background. We often bring
23 in physicians themselves into training to talk to the
24 rep about how they like to be interfaced with.

1 Q. Going down to Business Judgment, what
2 does that mean?

3 A. Well, it's being smart about where you
4 spend your time, where you spend your resources, the
5 decisions that you make because as a representative
6 you have an unbelievable amount of autonomy and
7 flexibility with how you spend your time and where
8 you go without a boss looking over your shoulder.

9 You're not in an office environment.

10 So it's important that we trust our
11 representatives to use their heads, to use good
12 decision making processes so that where they do spend
13 their time, where they do spend their money, is with
14 business judgment, they're using good judgment to
15 make good -- to affect the business positively.

16 Q. So would it be good business judgment if
17 a rep calls on high prescribers more frequently than
18 low or non-prescribers?

19 A. Generally speaking, yes, but for a
20 specific territory I would need to know more about
21 that territory to know that dynamic, right, to be
22 sure that in that case how you described it is the
23 best judgment.

24 Q. Okay. And would also business judgment

1 be effectively using the sales pieces or detail aids
2 that Abbott has provided for the reps?

3 A. I personally wouldn't consider that so
4 much a business judgment piece as probably like
5 selling effectively one of those.

6 I mean because, again, the
7 description here, it's understanding the business,
8 making good decision.

9 I see business judgment as more of
10 like how you carry yourself overall. I don't see
11 that as like exactly what tactics you use in every
12 sales call. I mean it's all part of like a bigger
13 picture.

14 But using the sales materials is
15 more commonly used in a sales -- I should say a
16 salesperson's performance evaluation. That would be
17 more often reflecting in the selling effectively.

18 Q. Okay. Then you said it's more how you
19 conduct yourself overall.

20 What does that mean?

21 A. Again, it's business judgment. It's
22 making good decisions of where you spend your time.
23 Like are you spending your time with, you know -- are
24 you spending the whole morning on a low prescriber's

1 office, chitchatting with the nurse and not getting
2 any commitments. That's a poor business judgment.

3 Are you walking into an office
4 disheveled and not being a professional in how you
5 carry yourself. That's using poor business judgment.

6 Spending money frivolously on a
7 dinner program, allowing like a too-expensive bottle
8 of wine being ordered, letting things get away from
9 your control. That's a poor business judgement.

10 It's how you carry yourself in these
11 business settings that's more of a overall sort of
12 approach that you take. I look at that more in that
13 way than I do in like did this person use this
14 specific tactic or not. I look -- I would look at
15 that as a separate competency.

16 Does that answer your question?

17 Q. I think so. And I have a few follow-up
18 questions.

19 A. I mean business judgement is one of those
20 things it's like you can describe it -- and hopefully
21 I have -- but it's also one of these things that I
22 think we can all agree on, it's one of those common
23 sense sort of things that you know it when you see
24 it, and you know it when you don't see it.

1 Q. Okay. So now I use the example you gave
2 like where your spend your time, if you're spending
3 your time with a low prescriber or non-prescriber,
4 that would be in your mind poor use -- you gave a
5 example of poor business judgment?

6 A. In this hypothetical example this
7 territory was ripe with high prescribers that are
8 accessible and yet this representative is spending
9 their time with low prescribers just because they're
10 accessible, that's poor business judgment.

11 Q. And Abbott would prefer that reps spend
12 time with the high prescribers that are accessible?

13 A. I absolutely would prefer that they do.
14 I would coach them heavily to do so yes.

15 Q. And then looking professional, as you
16 said, that's common sense.

17 Now, as far as spending money
18 frivolously, what do you mean by that?

19 A. Well, again, the representative has
20 control of where they spend their money to do
21 lunches, where they order from, who they invite.

22 Like, in other words, if you got an
23 office of 50 people, well, that's a good office maybe
24 to do pizza at lunch. Not, you know, \$12-a-head, you

1 messages concerning compliance factors; correct?

2 A. Uh-huh.

3 Q. I'm sorry. You have to say yes?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Now, if I can direct your attention to I

6 guess the document that has -- on the bottom

7 right-hand corner you see Bates numbers that's

8 1111059?

9 A. Okay.

10 Q. If you look on the left-hand column, it

11 might be hard to read, but the third row down it

12 says, Discovery?

13 A. Uh-huh.

14 Q. What's Discovery?

15 A. A generic term for this would be Probe.

16 Q. Okay. Which is questions you ask the

17 customer.

18 And here it looks like in this row

19 that Abbott is providing reps with probes to use on

20 their calls with physicians?

21 A. These are samples or examples, yep.

22 Q. And these are -- I'm assuming these are

23 good examples or otherwise Abbott wouldn't put them

24 in this training module; is that correct?

1 So there is a letter of the law, and
2 there's a spirit of the law, and believe me when I
3 tell you, when people say, I expect you to be in the
4 field the whole day, it means, you know what, if you
5 start late and end early some days because you've got
6 to get your other stuff done, so be it. I just don't
7 expect that every day you're going to not be out
8 there until 10:00 or 11:00 or 12:00.

9 And I think that's the intention of
10 why people would write something like that,
11 expectations, because too many reps out there do work
12 very few hours. They figured out how to game the
13 system.

14 Q. Do reps -- do they supervise anyone?

15 A. Do the reps supervise any one, no.

16 Q. Are reps involved in developing any
17 company-wide policies?

18 A. Not officially, no. I mean sometimes
19 their ideas surface up to become part of policy.

20 Q. Is that part of their job description?

21 A. It's not part of their job description,
22 no.

23 Q. Are reps involved in developing
24 company-wide marketing strategy?

1 A. Well, yeah, not -- not as an official
2 part of their job description, but they are often
3 involved in what are called SMAC panels. It's
4 another acronym we use, Sales Marketing Advisory
5 Council.

6 Q. Right.

7 A. Where salespeople and marketing folks are
8 together in sort of a task force where marketing uses
9 the rep input for future sales material development.

10 So the reps are involved, not as an
11 official part of their job description, but they do
12 get involved.

13 Q. Do they have any input into company-wide
14 sales strategy?

15 A. I'm sorry. Was that a question you just
16 asked?

17 Q. Yeah, do they have any involvement in the
18 development of company-wide sales strategy?

19 A. Once again, it's like the marketing
20 materials, not officially, but their input is very
21 much taken into account in the context of these like
22 SMAC panel advisory panel discussions.

23 Q. Well, how about -- how many reps sit on
24 these -- what are these advisory panels?

1 A. It totally varies. Any rep who wants to
2 be on them generally can be on them. It's one of
3 these things where if reps want to get involved and
4 be part of, you know, the larger team, with marketing
5 and sales, then all they got to do usually is just
6 ask their manager, Hey, is there SMAC panel that, you
7 know -- an opening on one of these SMAC panels that I
8 could be a part of.

9 I mean as a rep I was part of these
10 all over -- all the time. I mean my whole career
11 I've been on these different panels where sales reps
12 and managers and marketing are all together and
13 helping to develop the strategy jointly, so reps have
14 an ability to be part of those discussions if they so
15 choose.

16 Q. But it's on an advisory panel?

17 A. On an advisory panel basis, yeah. They
18 have a full-time job to do which is to sell so that's
19 not their full-time job.

20 Q. Whose full-time job is it to develop
21 company-wide marketing strategy.

22 A. It's the marketing department's.

23 Q. And whose full-time job is it to develop
24 company-wide sales strategy?

1 leading to written coaching, potentially leading to a
2 performance improvement plan, which could lead to
3 termination.

4 But it all starts with results, how
5 is that person performing, and then you work down to
6 what's driving that performance.

7 Q. And we'll get to that in a second.

8 Another question I wanted to ask is
9 if a rep isn't calling on the doctors with the
10 frequency that Abbott wants them to call on, could
11 that also lead to disciplinary action or some sort of
12 coaching discussion?

13 A. Absolutely. In the context -- the
14 greater context of how is their overall performance?

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. It's a very narrow view for someone to
17 coach or discipline strictly on activity. In a sales
18 world you coach to -- first to results.

19 Q. Okay.

20 A. And behind that, you know, you diagnose,
21 okay, the results are not going well. What's driving
22 that?

23 Q. Now -- I'm assuming -- well, correct me
24 if I'm wrong, but Abbott provides all these coaching

1 Q. It's not correct, or the reps don't
2 develop those messages?

3 A. Well, the document you're looking at has
4 suggested messages that do come from the home office,
5 mainly marketing.

6 The representatives, though, it's
7 common knowledge in this industry that the reps still
8 rephrases the messaging to reflect the spirit of what
9 was written in that document, but they're
10 paraphrasing and rephrasing it to fit their own
11 style.

12 Q. Understood.

13 A. And so in a sense they are messaging on
14 their own, but the context of their messaging still
15 fits within the spirit of what's on those printed
16 documents.

17 They are guides. They're templates.
18 They're not scripts. I mean there really is a
19 distinction here.

20 Q. Okay. I didn't ask about scripts, but
21 do -- at least the themes that you mentioned before,
22 would you agree that reps don't develop those themes
23 for the products?

24 A. That's true.

1 Q. And visual aids, they don't develop the
2 visual aids; correct?

3 A. Correct, yeah.

4 Q. Okay.

5 A. I mean this wouldn't be unlike any
6 product of service that any salesperson sells.

7 Q. I understand.

8 Now, as far as the bonuses that goes
9 for reps, does a manager's subjective evaluation play
10 a part in their -- the amount of their bonus?

11 MR. KNIGHT: Again 30(b)(6) testimony.

12 You can answer if you know.

13 BY THE WITNESS:

14 A. A rep's bonus -- the bonuses are a little
15 different across the franchises, but in our primary
16 care sales force that I work in now, 100 percent of
17 the rep's bonus is based on the sales results.

18 MR. DI CHIARA: Okay. I think you can go.

19 MR. KNIGHT: I just have one thing I want to
20 follow up on.

21 MR. DI CHIARA: Sure.

22 EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. KNIGHT:

24 Q. You had said earlier in the course of